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Sicily Jazz: The World’s First Man in Jazz directed by Michele Cinque. GA & A Productions, 2015. DVD format. 73 minutes.

Review by Vincenzo Caporaletti
Università di Macerata

This documentary traces the human and artistic vicissitudes of Dominic Nick LaRocca (1889–1961), a self-taught cornetist, the son of a Sicilian shoemaker from Salaparuta who emigrated to New Orleans. LaRocca is responsible for what is considered the first jazz record, Livery Stable Blues/Dixie Land Jazz Band—One Step, recorded on February 26, 1917. With his group, Original Dixieland Jazz Band, he won resounding success in the 1910s and 1920s, only to be obscured by the Great Depression when LaRocca returned to working in the building trade.

The film employs the language of fiction and documentary, dramatizing counterpointing LaRocca’s story, told by mixing film and first-hand accounts, with the character of the Narrator given to Mimmo Cuticchio, a true puparo (puppeteer) and cuntastorie (storyteller) of the Sicilian tradition. Sicily is portrayed in the ruins of Salaparuta, devastated by an earthquake, in which the music of Roy Paci and Salvatore Bonafede offsets that of New Orleans. The film’s narration is suggestive and well renders LaRocca’s controversial character. Surprisingly, he is a figure to whom the historiography of jazz today does not recognize a founding role, considering his individual contribution in the framework of much broader social and cultural dynamics. It is no coincidence that one of the most touching aspects of the film lies in the attempts that LaRocca made during his entire life to reaffirm his leading role in jazz.

If as an artwork Sicily Jazz provides a provocative juxtaposition of settings, as a documentary on jazz it seems to have caught up in unresolved aspects that distort the historical figure of LaRocca, projecting him into an erroneous mythographic dimension. With regard to this specific historiographic aspect, the ideology of jazz as oral culture made Cinque miss an important point. In Italian culture, and in Sicilian culture, influenced by classical Greek civilization, oral culture was rooted in Greek myth. This was the world of the rhapsodes, the bards, of the traditional “genuine” community, as Edward Sapir has said, of transparent values with no “accursed share” (Georges Bataille) of the accumulation of value (economic, sexual, authorial). It was the culture of the “We” not of the “I.” The cuntastorie in the film wants to represent all too openly, and somewhat didactically, this legacy of oral tradition. Cinque is able to superimpose the two images—Nick LaRocca and the cuntastorie—on the grounds that U.S. jazz is interpreted, in anthropological literature, as an emanation of oral culture. And this is problematic, because in LaRocca, instead of the “We,” there is a hysterical and dramatic, compulsive “I.” Within the “oral scheme,” this contradiction is neither resolved nor explained.

LaRocca was no anonymous bard; rather he flaunted his authorship and his identity as a composer (even if he were illiterate, according to literate canons). With a “way of being in the world” akin to the cuntastorie, he had found that “forbidden fruit” which took him away from the innocence of oral culture. In the emerging record industry of the 1910s, this was the phonographic medium, which imposes its own logic on the music recorded, as an emanation of capitalist property that reproduces its intrinsic structure in the private ownership of a work of art. Recording was something that imprisoned the music collectively shared in the oral culture of New Orleans, by reifying it into an object, a product, and inextricably linking its performer to itself. Like a technological Faust, it projected the performer into the dazzling light of show business and fleeting glory.

This process introduced values completely different from those found in oral culture, and which clashes with the image the movie wants to suggest of “LaRocca cuntastorie in America.” LaRocca becomes the “owner” and thus the “author” of those same “floating folk strains” that freely circulated in New Orleans: he transforms himself into a trickster, a “divine thief” of music. This explains the profound hatred and resentment he aroused in the jazz community, in particular in that, doubly excluded, of African Americans. The hatred shown by his old companions and the denial of his paternity of jazz by scholars would cause in him an incurable wound. His most violent reaction was against African Americans, whom he attacked on several occasions with expressions of violent racism. They were guilty, in his view, of the worst abjection: to have dehorned him, historically, as “the world’s first man in jazz.” But he, and others, simply had the bad luck of living on the edge of the catastrophe that separates oral culture from something other than itself.