analysis, we found particular types of structures difficult to classify within KUB Theory. The scope of the present work is, therefore, to examine these structures — imperatives and question tags — in more detail, and to compare them with another less problematic, but still complex structure: introducing verbs and their dependent clauses. Sentences which feature subordination often involve a combination of Known, Unknown and Believed elements. These distinct types of information interact and unfold dynamically within dialogue, the information conveyed in a proposition being reinforced or mitigated by the KUB value of the introducing verb or question tag. What we have investigated, therefore, is this interplay between types of information, i.e. how KUB in introducing verbs interacts with KUB in dependent clauses; how KUB in main clauses is mitigated by KUB in question tags; and how to determine the KUB value of an imperative.

Imperatives appear to presume obedience and therefore the realization of the order as a (future) Known. Introducing verbs and question tags however, combining as they do with finite verb clauses, drive the dynamics of information flow. Introducing verbs are usually K, and their presence reinforces K and strengthens B in the dependent clause (U only occurs with a U introducing verb). In question tags, a similar effect occurs (K is weakened, B mitigated, and U reinforced). Yet the characters’ preference for information types (c.f. Philip et al.) is also at play: only Harry uses U introducing verbs, while Hermione is the originator of almost all B clauses. The dynamics of dialogue in *Hallows* Chapter 10 is therefore revealed to be most complex, at once justifying this more detailed investigation and confirming the need to continue work on KUB in dialogic interaction.

Philip Gill, University of Macerata (Italy), gill.philip@unimc.it

**Knowing and not knowing: expressing (un)certainty in the Italian subjunctive**

The subjunctive mode is a source of difficulty for many learners of Italian, in part because the function of the subjunctive varies from language to language, and in part because guidance regarding its use is misleadingly exhaustive. At first glance, the subjunctive would appear to occupy an inordinate amount of space in grammars for Italian learners. In fact, in addition to complete lists of the conjunctions which require a concessive clause in the subjunctive, the verbs of belief, doubt and sentiment which also require the subjunctive is typically accompanied by a long list of example verbs, including credere (to believe), pensare (to think), temere (to fear), etc. Yet what is not explicitly listed nor even mentioned in passing, is how verbs of certainty, e.g. sapere (to know), behave in the negative, i.e. when the certainty that they express is reversed. This study investigates the use of the subjunctive when certainty is negated, drawing its data from a corpus of contemporary spoken and written Italian. Analysis of the corpus data makes it possible to locate the small details of language which trigger the use of the subjunctive mode, with interesting findings. Taking as its main focus non sapere (not to know), negated form of the verb of certainty par excellence, the study isolates the linguistic features which consistently demarcate the grammatical choice between indicative and subjunctive. One of these is the assertion of fact over opinion: when the dependent clause introduces a fact or some form of widespread knowledge, the indicative reinforces the certainty of that fact; when the information presented is not widely known or believed to be a fact, the subjunctive is preferred, fulfilling its role as indicator of belief, doubt or sentiment. The other feature is the standpoint of the speaker: the negative particle non reinforces ignorance of the known in indicative clauses, and highlights the unknowable in subjunctive clauses. By way of contrast, two other verbs were also examined: essere sicuro (to be sure) and credere (to believe), representing the intermediate and end-points respectively on the cline of subjunctive use. Essere sicuro is a near synonym of sapere, being a verb of certainty which tends to refer to fact and accepted knowledge. However, it transpires that even in the positive, it occurs together with subjunctive and indicative dependent clauses with roughly the same frequencies of occurrence. The addition of the negative particle effectively converts essere sicuro into a verb of uncertainty, while both positive and negative interrogative uses also require subjunctive dependent clauses. Credere, the “control” since it obligatorily requires the subjunctive, does not fail in its duty: no occurrences of indicative mode were found with this verb, whether positive, negative or interrogative. This small study raises an important consideration for language description in general: there are areas of language, such as negation, where grammar and semantics merge. These must be addressed not only as grammatical features, but also as nuanced expressions of meaning.
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