

From traditional exams to closed-ended quizzes: an exploration towards an effective assessment in mathematics at University level

Giovannina Albano^{a,1}, Agnese Ilaria Telloni^b

^aUniversity of Salerno, Dept. of Information and Electrical Engineering and Applied Mathematics – Fisciano - SA (Italy)

^bUniversity of Ancona, Dept. of Industrial Engineering and Mathematics – Ancona (Italy)

(submitted: dd/mm/yyyy; accepted: dd/mm/yyyy; published: dd/mm/yyyy)

Abstract

The pandemic emergency gave rise to the issue of moving from face-to-face assessment to distance assessment. In this exploratory study, exploiting the results of research in Mathematics Education, we focus on how to design effective closed-ended questions, of different types, able to effectively assess mathematical learning outcomes. Furthermore we investigate how to aggregate them into a Moodle quiz, that can effectively replace the traditional written open-ended exam. We propose a three-dimensional model, taking into account question types, learning outcomes and mathematical topics, that shed light on issues of validity, reliability, balance, and fairness of closed-ended quizzes. We discuss the results of the first implementation of the model within a Linear Algebra course for Engineering freshmen.

KEYWORDS: closed-ended quiz, assessment, University, mathematics, Moodle

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/xxxx>

CITE AS

Leave this space empty

1. Introduction

During the last term, we were engaged as teachers of a course concerning linear algebra, for Computer Science Engineering freshmen of University of Salerno.

Because of the pandemic emergency, we needed to move from face-to-face assessment to distance assessment. This was the occasion to deepen some issues related to computer-based assessment, which have already been treated in some of our previous researches. We are familiar with the Moodle platform, which for some years we use to engage students in collaborative activities through the “Workshops”, aimed at promoting a critical attitude in the study of mathematics and at fostering a formative (self-) assessment along the

course. Moreover, we make available different kinds of resources (files containing notes, video, and interactive paths for the development of strategic thinking, tailored to the individual learning needs). We had also exploited the opportunities offered by the activity “Quiz” of the Moodle platform, focusing our attention on the automatic formative (self-) assessment as a tool of learning (Albano & Ferrari, 2008, 2013; Albano, Pierri & Sabena, 2020). The pandemic gave rise to the need of using Quiz effectively as a summative assessment tool. The exams at distance offered us the opportunity to deeply reflect on this design.

In this paper, we focus on how to design an effective Moodle quiz, including different question types, that can effectively replace the traditional written open-ended exam. The starting point of our research consists of the following working hypothesis: the validity, reliability, balance, and fairness of the traditional assessment consisting of written open-ended questions, integrating operational knowledge and relational knowledge, and addressing the use of representations in various semiotic systems (Skemp, 1976; Duval, 1996).

¹ corresponding author - email: galbano@unisa.it – address: via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 – 84084 Fisciano (SA) – Italy

We are aware that close-ended questions have some limitations since they do not enable to fully assess the construction of a text, the design of a problem solving process or the argumentative competency Ferrari, 2019; Trincherò, 2006; Garuti & Martignone, 2019). However, we believe that the mentioned weaknesses could be contained and largely overcome through a careful design of the questions and the whole quiz.

According to previous research, the design of questions should take into account that the student, in order to give the correct answer, should activate the desired competencies, such as the focused reading of the text, the modeling of the problematic situation, the coordination of different semiotic systems (Niss & Hogart, 2019). Moreover, the formulation of the questions should discourage improper strategies, such as ruling out items or recurring external resources. Finally, the decay of questions/items should be taken into account, to avoid choosing items by heart, so it is necessary to continuously vary the questions, hence to construct a large database, with an attentive choice of the distractors and the systematic use of the option “none of the other answers”, which should be the right option in a significant number of cases. This is aimed to avoid students reaching the correct answers by remembering seen procedures (Darlington, 2014).

This paper focuses on two research questions:

RQ1: how to construct appropriate closed-ended questions able to effectively assess mathematical learning outcomes, according to standards shared by the Academic Community?

RQ2: how to aggregate closed-ended questions in order to construct appropriate quizzes that can replace traditional exams?

This paper is a first exploratory study, referring to the authors' experience during the pandemic, starting from research results in mathematics education.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 What should be assessed?

The first issue we addressed was to establish what should be assessed in the specific domain of Linear Algebra. This concerns the *validity* issue, that is the object and the aim of the assessment (Iannone, 2020b). Our perspective aims at the assessment of the competencies, mainly in terms of comprehension and handling of mathematical objects/concepts, of their mutual relations and of the processes connected with the problem solving activity. These aspects are deeply taken into account in the traditional exams.

For each item, give appropriate justification.

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ the homomorphism defined by

$$f(x, y, z, t) = (x + 2y - z - 2t, x + y - 2z, -2x - y + 5z + t)$$

- Find the dimension and a basis B of $\text{Ker}(f)$.
- Establish if f is surjective.
- Determine if $(1 - 2, 0) \in \text{Im}(f)$.

Figure 1 - Example of a problem of the traditional exam.

As shown in fig. 1, the problem addresses some specific educational goals, referring to conceptual knowledge (e.g. definition of the kernel of a homomorphism), calculation skills (e.g. solve a linear system) and mathematical competencies (e.g. mathematical thinking, mathematical problem handling, mathematical communication).

More in general, within the traditional open-ended written exam, the knowledge of several mathematical concepts and calculations skills are addressed, together with some specific mathematical competencies (Niss and Hogart, 2019), that are *mathematical thinking*, *mathematical problem handling* and *mathematical communication*. It is worthwhile to note that the traditional exam does not call for the *modelling competency* nor the competency about the *use of aids and tools* because they are out of the course's scope and of the foreseen exam's modalities.

We started our research constructing closed questions which covered the same competencies with respect to the traditional exam, giving rise to a list of typical questions. Later, we exploited the identified mathematical competencies in order to create further questions not strictly linked to previous open-ended exams. Indeed, we immediately realized that an exact translation of the traditional written exam into a closed-ended quiz was not possible nor auspicious. Instead, the question type in the quiz offered us the opportunity of addressing different aspects of the students' learning, such as their capability of understanding mathematical reasoning, of connecting various elements of knowledge, of interpreting the meanings of the results of procedures. These features are in line with the suggestions to construct a suitable and effective test for mathematics (Iannone, 2020a), including for instance 'why' questions such as the following.

Does it exist a surjective application $f: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\text{Ker } f = \{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : 2x + 4y + 2z = 4z = 0\}?$$

Choose one or more options

- Yes, because the kernel of f contains only the null vector.
- Yes, because $\dim(\text{Ker } f) = 2$.
- No, because $\dim(\text{Ker } f) = 2$.
- No, because $\text{Ker } f$ is a vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 .

Figure 2 - Example of a closed 'why' question.

The question in fig 2 and the open question in fig. 1 share knowledge and skills (surjectivity's condition, rank-nullity theory and calculation of dimension of the kernel of f), but they differ in competencies. In fact, the student is asked to connect these elements of knowledge and skills in different settings: in the open question they come into play one at a time in a sequential way, whilst in the closed question they must be recalled and connected at the same time. The question in fig. 2 asks for something more than the item b in fig. 1, related to issue of the existence of a mathematical object (see, for example, Dubinski,1997): it is different to ask if an application is surjective with respect to ask if there exists a surjective application (in the latter case, the mathematical thinking competency comes into play).

More in general, it is worthwhile to note that in the open-ended questions everyone can follow the preferred solving process to reach the answer, whilst the close-ended questions require to be able to identify, follow and evaluate the underlying reasoning of the proposed solutions. This is especially the case of linear algebra where multiple solving strategies are allowed to solve a problem (Newton, Star & Lynch, 2010).

In a nutshell, we had evidence that some technical limitations became opportunities from the didactical point of view.

2.2 How to assess the mathematical learning outcomes?

According to our choice to use the Moodle quiz , we analysed the characteristics of the available question types in order to identify the better fitting between types and competencies to be assessed.

The available literature about computer based assessment focuses mainly on the multiple choice question (see for example Watson et al., 2017), but our intention was to exploit all the question types in order to capture different abilities and test the students' behaviors. Inspired by the work of Scalise and Gifford (2006), we made an a-priori analysis of the potential of different question types with respect to the devised mathematical learning outcomes to be assessed (knowledge, skills, competencies). This gave rise to the graph in fig. 3, where the horizontal dimension collects the core elements of the identified learning outcomes, and the vertical dimension displays the question types. In particular, the core elements are differently colored according to the learning outcomes they refer to: the blue labels regard the knowledge of terms and concepts, the red labels concern the calculation skills, the green labels are linked to the understanding of terms and concepts, the orange labels concern the problem solving capability and the purple labels refer to the capability of reasoning mathematically and of explaining the motivations of procedures. The analysis allowed us to highlight the matching between question types and learning outcomes.




Figure 3 - The matching between question types and learning outcomes.

2.3 How to construct a quiz? The *fairness and balance* issues

Some issues about the distribution of the questions with respect to different parameters (mathematical content, didactical goal, question type, time) emerged when we needed to construct the quiz. Moreover, the will of realizing a strong randomization of the questions determined a further difficulty.

We immediately grasped the need of a theoretical model, enabling us to simultaneously take into account topics, question types and learning outcomes. So we extended the graph in fig. 3 by adding a further dimension concerning the topics addressed by the questions, giving rise to the three-dimensional model shown in fig. 4.




Figure 4 - The three-dimensional model.

We designed a mixed quiz, including open-ended questions and closed questions, in order to monitor the *reliability* of the quiz, that is the outcomes of the assessment in terms of grading (Iannone, 2020b). This was done according to two different aspects: on the one hand, we monitored the reliability of the whole quiz as a tool of assessment and, on the other hand, we looked at the reliability of the close-ended questions. This is in tune with the split-half method (Chakrabarry, 2013). In fact, based on our hypothesis about the reliability of the traditional open-ended exam, we could a posteriori gain information about the reliability of the whole quiz as well as of the closed questions, by comparing the average scores.

The open-ended questions in the quiz are similar to those of the traditional exam, even if the communication of the mathematical contents is more challenging for students.

Actually, we chose to set the technical constraint of enabling only textual answers: this way, we could assess the students' capability of justifying the problem solving process in verbal language, either from the theoretical side and the procedural side. More in general, these kinds of questions allow to assess the conceptual engagement of students with mathematical topics by means of their communications skills (Iannone, 2020a). This aspect is in tune with the definition of *competence* including the capability of using appropriate linguistic resources with respect to specific functions and aims, as suggested by the Council of the European Union ².

In constructing the quiz, we needed to take into account technical constraints and didactical needs: in fact, by using the Moodle quiz, we could not simply randomize along all the three dimensions of the model in fig. 4

² [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32018H0604\(01\)&from=EN](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32018H0604(01)&from=EN)

(learning outcomes, question types, topics). This induced us to create equivalence classes of quizzes. So, considering the expected number of students for the exam, we created 5 templates of quiz, so that around 20 students were delivered the same template. All of them share the same structure, where one of the dimensions of the model, that is the question type, is fixed as follows: every quiz contains 2 questions with numerical answer, 3 true-false with justification questions (one is about theoretical issues), 3 multiple-choice questions (one is about theoretical issues), 3 matching questions and 1 cloze question. This choice of giving more importance to the dimension of the model related to the question type is linked to the fairness of the quiz and to the time needed to perform the quiz: we considered that in order to create “equal” quizzes, we needed to include the same number of questions of a specific type. In each template of quiz we chose the value of the further dimensions of the model to be set for each question type, i.e. the topic and the learning outcome. So, for example, the 2 numerical questions of our quiz 1 concern vector spaces and address the knowledge of terms/concepts; on the other hand, the 2 numerical questions of our quiz 4 concern matrix and linear systems and address the calculation abilities. Table 1 shows an example of a template.

TEMPLATE 1	TOPIC	LEARNING OUTCOME
NUMERICAL	Vector spaces	Conceptual Knowledge
TRUE/FALSE WITH JUSTIFICATION	Matrices and linear systems	Calculation skills
MULTIPLE CHOICE	Homomorphism	Understanding of conceptual knowledge -
MATCHING	2D geometry and conics	Problem-solving capabilities
CLOZE	Diagonalization	Understanding problem-solving process

Table 1 - The matching between question types and learning outcomes.

Corresponding to each cell of the tridimensional model, we created a large database of different questions. This choice underlies the idea of considering to be equivalent the questions associated with the same cell.

2.4 Sample of questions

In this section we provide some example of significant closed-ended questions of different types.

Let us start with a matching question (Fig. 5), focused on 3D geometry and addressing problem-solving capabilities.

Consider, in the Euclidean space, the Cartesian equation of the plane $ax+by+cz+d=0$. Associate the mathematical conditions corresponding to each given case:

1. Plane which is perpendicular to $x+y+z=1$ and parallel to the z-axis.
2. Plan containing the origin of the axes and perpendicular to $2x+2y+2z-1=0$.
3. Plane containing the point (0,0,1).
4. Plane containing the origin of the axes and parallel to the z-axis.

$a = d = 0$
 $a + b = 0$
 $c + d = 0$
 $a + b + c = d = 0$

Figure 5 - A matching question on 3D geometry.

In order to successfully perform the question, the student should proceed in a reverse way with respect to classical open-ended questions addressing the same knowledge and calculation skills. In fact, the student should not construct a plane according to some given conditions (parallel to a plane, orthogonal to a line, containing a point,...), but he should recognize suitable characteristics on the coefficients of the equation of a generic plane, corresponding to the given conditions. The correct performance depends on a connected knowledge and a good control on the geometric and algebraic languages.

Also fig. 6 shows a question about 3D geometry. It is cloze question, where the items to be chosen are in square brackets, addressing the understanding problem-solving process.

In the Euclidean 3-dimensional space, the linear system $\begin{cases} x + 3y - 8 = 0 \\ 2y + z - 7 = 0, \end{cases}$

represents [a line/a plane], having as [normal/parallel] vector equal to $v=[(3,-1,-2)/(-3,-1,2)/(-3,1-2)]$. The equations of the linear system represent [orthogonal lines/parallel lines/parallel planes/orthogonal planes], respectively, to the planes $z=0$ and $[y=0/x=0]$. Moreover, the locus $\begin{cases} x = -1 - 6t, \\ y = 2 + 2t, \\ z = -4t, \end{cases}$ represented by the system [intersects/ is parallel to] the locus represented by since the vector of it, u, [is/is not] parallel to v.

Figure 6 - A cloze question on 3D geometry.

In order to give the correct answers, students should have some knowledge of definitions (i.e. normal/parallel vector) and calculation skills (parallel vector of a line); moreover, they should be able to switch between different semiotic registers.

The close question in fig. 7 concerns diagonalization and addresses understanding of conceptual knowledge.

Consider the real matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since A is [symmetric/not symmetric],

then it [is/is not] orthogonally diagonalizable. Hence it is [possible/not possible] to deduce that A is also [diagonalizable/not diagonalizable]. In this case, it is [possible/not possible] to calculate the matrix P which orthogonally diagonalizes A by identifying a [orthonormal basis/basis] of all the eigenspaces of A. The matrix P can be constructed by putting as [columns/rows] the vectors of the obtained bases and P turns out to be [an orthogonal/symmetric/diagonal] matrix.

Figure 7 - A cloze question on the diagonalization of matrices.

The question investigated if the student grasped the relation between diagonalization and orthogonal diagonalization, as well as the conceptual existence of the diagonalization matrix P (not only the procedure to construct it).

The following two questions address conceptual knowledge, that is students' understanding of theorems.

The multiple-choice question in fig. 8 proposes items concerning the statement and the proof of Cramer's Theorem. The items 2 and 5 require the student to have gone into depth and to be able to explain the steps of the proof in detail.

Consider Cramer's Theorem. Choose the true items (one or more options):

- The hypotheses of the Theorem include a linear system with complete matrix of order n
- The proof exploits the definition of inverse matrix
- In the thesis of the Theorem the n solutions of the linear system are provided
- None of the other items
- In the proof the property of the identity matrix of being the identity element for the matrix multiplication is exploited

Figure 8 - A multiple-choice question on Cramer's Theorem.

The matching question in fig. 9 asks the student to identify which items concern hypotheses and which ones concerns the thesis of Steinitz's Lemma.

For each item, establish if it is a hypothesis or a thesis of Steinitz's Lemma.

1. T is a subset of the vector space V
2. B is a basis of V
3. T is a linearly dependent set
4. The number of vectors in T is greater than the number of vectors in a basis of V




Figure 9 - A matching question on Steinitz's Lemma.

Usually students learn by heart theorems' statements (and often also their proof). This kind of question can affect students' learning style, forcing them to distinguish between hypotheses and thesis, and to be aware of the objects and of the meaning of variables at stake. For instance, item 4 refers to the relationship 'm>n' appearing in the statement, which is crucial.

The last two examples of questions go beyond the traditional written exams. This kind of issue is usually investigated during the traditional oral exam, but often this level of deepness is neglected, especially in case of large numbers of students.

2.5 Some implementation details

The questions and the quiz have been implemented using the Quiz module in the platform Moodle.

We exploited some of the standard close-ended question types: numerical, matching, cloze and multiple choice. This last type has been customized as follows:

- a) all the questions appear as multiple-answer questions, both in the case of a single correct answer and in the case of several correct answers; this has been a didactical choice that forces the students to assess each item and aims to avoid them use some inappropriate strategies;
- b) a new category has been added, called 'true/false with justification', that is a multiple choice question, foreseeing four answers like 'true because.../false because...'; also in this case there can be more than one correct answer, differing only in the justification, so individuating the correct answer means to identify the correct pair (true/false, justification);
- c) the two previous categories have been replicated as new categories, specifying that they concern exclusively the assessment of comprehension of theoretical issues (definition, theorem statements and proofs, theoretical characterizations of a concept from different viewpoints).

We also used the 'essay' question type for implementing the open-ended questions.

Moreover, since Moodle allows the teacher to add tags to a question, we exploited this facility in order to associate each created question with a topic and a learning outcome. So we created:

- a) the tags corresponding to the macro-topics of the course: Matrices and linear systems, vector spaces, Euclidean spaces, homomorphism, eigenvalues and eigenvectors, 2D geometry and conics, 3D geometry;
- b) the tags corresponding to the learning outcomes (see fig. 3)

So two tags have been added to each close-ended question. Concerning the open-ended ones, we chose to split them into two classes of equivalences, by means of the two tags: algebra and geometry, depending on the content.

The setting up of each quiz has been guided by the template for what concerns the close-ended part. Random questions have been added, filtering the question bank by tag.

Concerning the quiz layout, the order in which questions appear to the student as well as the order in which the items of the answers to a question appear have been also randomised.

Finally, a lockdown browser has been integrated in Moodle and it is activated when students access the quiz.

3. Results

In this section we report the results of the first exams' session, just at the end of the course, which was performed by 96 students. The quiz was composed of 13 close-ended questions and 2 open-ended questions. The best mark for each close-question has been set to 1, whilst the score of each open-ended question was set to 8.5. In order to pass the exam, it is not sufficient to perform correctly in only one of the two parts of the quiz (close and open). Taking into account the issue of cheating, the team of the department teachers agreed to give around 4 minutes for each close-ended question and around 20 minute for each open-ended question, for a total of 90 minutes.

First of all, we will give details about the reliability of the quiz. We will handle the issue at two different levels: comparison with the traditional exams and reliability of the close-ended questions.

Concerning the first level, we looked at the same session of the last year and we note that the overall percentage of successful students in this first attempt is slightly higher.

We notice that all and only the students who get at least 60% of the maximum open-ended part score, get a global sufficient score as well.

Concerning the second level, we compared the results of the closed-ended part and open-ended part within the quiz. We observed that the scores of the former are generally slightly better than the scores of the latter. We compared the percentage of the score obtained in the closed-ended questions with respect to 13 (the maximum score for this part of the quiz), and the percentage of the score obtained in the open-ended questions with respect to 17 (the maximum score for this part). The mean value of the former is 64,8 % , whilst the mean value of the latter is 60,4 % , with a gap of 4,4% in favour of the close-ended part. Going more in depth, focusing on the students who get a sufficient score only to the closed-ended questions, we note that they did not pass the quiz and they are 16% of the total students.

In the following, in order to deepen the analysis, we will focus on the data of quiz 1 from a qualitative point of view, besides the quantitative one. The diagram in fig. 10 shows the percentage of the score obtained in quiz 1, with respect to total questions (blu bar), closed-ended (orange bar) and open-ended questions (grey bar). The horizontal green line indicates the sufficiency.




Figure 10 - Data of quiz 1 (whole quiz, closed-ended part, open-ended part).

There are 11 students who passed the exam.

It is worthwhile to note that three students got the sufficiency to the closed-ended questions, but they did not to the open-ended ones neither to the total quiz.

To deepen how to improve the closed-ended questions, we go into details of the questions which give evidence of students' difficulties. They can be grouped according to the kind of learning outcomes they address:

a) questions concerning the meaning of the rank of a matrix with respect to the context of use.

Let us see the question in fig. 11.

Let us consider the homomorphism represented by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 & 1 \\ 4 & -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Choose the correct items:

- The rows of A are linearly dependent
- The domain and the codomain of the homomorphism have the same dimension
- The dimension of $\text{Im } f$ is at most 4
- The homomorphism is surjective
- The columns of A form a basis of $\text{Im } f$

Figure 11 - A question concerning the rank of a matrix.

From a procedural point of view, the question involves the computation of the rank of the matrix A, but choosing the correct items requires connecting the concept of rank with various other concepts in different fields, such as the linear dependence/independence of vectors, the basis of a vector space, the kernel and the image of a homomorphism, a matrix representation of a homomorphism.

Similarly, the question in fig. 12 involves computing the matrix representation and its rank, and most of the concepts at stake are the same of the previous question,

but the items require different connections to be brought into play.

Let us consider the homomorphism defined by

$$f(x, y, z, t) = (2x - y, x + y - 2z, z + t).$$

Choose the correct items:

- f is injective
- The matrix associated to f has 3 rows
- $\text{Im } f$ always can be represented through Cartesian equations
- The orthogonal subspace of $\text{Ker } f$ has the same dimension of $\text{Im } f$
- The matrix associated to f is square

Figure 12 - A question concerning homomorphisms.

b) theoretical questions, which can be split into:

i) questions requiring the concepts' knowledge.

Answering the question in fig. 13 just requires to know the definition of the counterimage of a vector in a homomorphism.

Let f be an endomorphism of R^2 such that

$$f^{-1}(1,2) = (k + 1, 3 - k), k \in R.$$

Choose the correct items:

- $\dim(\text{Ker } f) + \dim(\text{Im } f) = 4$
- $(1,3) \in \text{Ker } f$
- $(1,2) \in \text{Im } f$
- f is an isomorphism
- $\text{Ker } f = \{0\}$

Figure 13 - A question requiring the concepts' knowledge.

Fig. 14 shows a question that has almost always been left blank. The focus of the question is the definition of eigenvectors, although some item can be analyzed independently (e.g. item 4).

Let $v_1 = (1,1,0)$, $v_2 = (0,1,1)$ and $v_3 = (0,0,1)$ be

eigenvectors of the homomorphism $f: R^3 \rightarrow R^3$ associated to the eigenvalues 1, 1, 2, respectively.

Choose the correct items:

- $f(v_1) \neq v_1$
- $f(v_1)$ is the null vector
- $\forall v' \in R^3, f(v') = (x, y, x - y + 2z)$
- v_1, v_2 and v_3 form a basis of R^3
- It is not possible to calculate the linear extension $f(x, y, z)$
- None of the other options

Figure 14 - A question about the definition of eigenvector.

ii) questions requiring the knowledge of theorems.

The question in fig. 15 is based on the theorem about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a linear system. It concerns the understanding of the constraints of existence and uniqueness are related to the rank of the matrices associated to the system, rather than to their dimensions.

Given a solvable linear system of m equations and n variables ($m \neq n$), does it always have more than one solution?

- Yes, since the coefficient matrix is not square.
- No, since the rank of the coefficient matrix and the rank of the complete matrix could be the same and equal to n .
- Yes, since m and n are different.
- No, because, by hypothesis, it follows that the number of variables is equal to the rank of the coefficient matrix and to the rank of the complete matrix.

Figure 15 - A question about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a linear system.

c) questions requiring the management of parameters, connected to the issue of quantifiers.

The question in fig 16. concerns a square linear system, with non-constant coefficients, depending on the real parameter k . It is clear that the system has a unique solution for all k real, except a finite number of values (corresponding to the zeroes of the determinant of the coefficient matrix). Thus, assessing the correctness of the various proposed items requires managing the

relation between the given values and the universal quantifiers.

Let us consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} x + kz = 1 - k \\ y + kz = 0, & k \in R. \\ x + ky + 2z = 0 \end{cases}$$

Does it always have a unique solution?

Choose one or more options.

- No, because for $k = -2$ the rank of the coefficient matrix is not equal to the number of variables.
- Yes, because the determinant of the coefficient matrix does not depend on k .
- No, because for $k = -2$ the rank of the coefficient matrix is different from the rank of the complete matrix.
- Yes, because for $k = 1$ the rank of the coefficient matrix is equal to the number of variables.

Figure 16 - A question concerning a linear system depending on a real parameter.

4. Discussion and conclusion

In this paper we address the problem of moving from traditional assessment by written open-ended exams to computer-based assessment by closed-ended quizzes. Various issues arise, concerning the design of both significant questions and the whole quiz. The change of assessment poses some issues. One of the most relevant concerns the possible discrepancy between the way of teaching and the way of assessing. To prevent this we started with an a-priori analysis of the traditional exam that allowed us to highlight what learning outcomes had been assessed, that means what the students were used to focus on for the assessment, according to the course's teaching style. Further a-priori analysis have been devoted to the learning outcomes assessed by the traditional oral exam. They mainly concerned the student's capability of connecting various pieces of knowledge, managing different meanings of the same concept with respect to different contexts, recognizing the theoretical underpinnings to operational procedures, investigating definition or proof comprehension of theoretical results. The analysis made was related to the potential of the various question types offered by Moodle quizzes. This has brought to the emergence of a graph (fig 3), that highlights the matching between learning outcomes and question types. We implemented the graph, associating each question to a cell of the graph, by means of the Moodle question types and the tagging facility (using learning outcomes as tags). A

further tag has been added to the question, specifying the topic the question refers to. The use of the pair of tags (learning outcomes, topic) within a question type gives rise to equivalence classes of questions.

Besides the questions, we also addressed the issue of building the whole quiz, that is a critical issue, in our opinion, not not sufficiently addressed in the current literature. In this phase we needed to further develop the graph and design the three-dimensional model in fig. 4. It takes into account the mathematical topics, in addition to the learning outcomes and the question types. This brought into play the introduction of equivalence classes on the quizzes. We chose to define two quizzes as equivalent if they contain the same number of different question types and aim to assess globally the same set of learning outcomes, whilst the topics can vary. In a few words, two quizzes are equivalent if they correspond to the same block of the three-dimensional model.

The results of the first round of exams show that the students have not been affected by the new assessment method, and this seems to confirm the consistency between teaching and assessment. This is true also in case of negative evaluation, such as the example in fig. 16, requiring the handling of parameters and quantifiers. The same type of difficulty was also found in open questions, such as question in fig. 17.

Solve in a clear, complete and efficient way the following task, explaining step by step the reasoning and justifying it with appropriate theoretical definitions or results.

Let $f: R^3 \rightarrow R^3$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + hy - z, -y + hz, hx - y + z), \quad h \in R.$$

- Find the dimension and a basis of $(\text{Im}f)^\perp$ when f is not injective.
- Calculate, when it is possible, the inverse matrix of the matrix A associated to f with respect to the canonical bases.
- State the theorem of invertibility of matrices and explain the necessity of the invertibility condition.

Figure 17 - An open-ended question involving a real parameter.

Many students did not correctly solve item b), requiring the handling of the parameter in its generality, and they computed the inverse of A by assigning a fixed value to h .

A further remark concerns the issue of traditional closed-book setting of exams, difficult to realize in the current situation of remote assessment, due to the pandemic (Iannone, 2020a). In our setting, students were required to perform the quiz using a proctoring software, being controlled through a camera, being prevented from consulting any knowledge source (books, notes, etc). However, we expected that the questions we designed, requiring connections between different elements of knowledge, changes of semiotic registers

and the control on mathematical meanings, could limit the danger of cheating. In this respect, during this term, we are using closed-ended quizzes as informal in-itinerests tests, whose positive mark gives the student some ‘bonus’ for the final exam. The students perform these quizzes at home, without any control, in an ‘open-book’ setting. The obtained outcomes are comparable to the ones obtained in the ‘close-book’ setting, shown in this paper. This shed light on the fact that the well-design of the questions can bring us towards open-book exams. Actually, the quizzes submitted during this term contain new questions, which have been designed based on the on-going analysis of the previous exams’ outcomes.

What comes out from this first exploratory study suggest further research questions to be investigated, such as the following:

- how to exploit the item analysis of previous quizzes (in terms of percentage of correctness) in order to a-priori evaluate the difficulty level of the quiz according to the item-analysis;
- looking at the students who had better mark to closed-ended questions than open-ended ones, if and how to define students’ profile in mathematics;
- how to exploit the results of closed-ended questions in order to define a hierarchy of question types, learning outcomes and topics according to the students’ difficulties made evident from their success or not in answering correctly.
- if and how to use only close-ended questions, especially for what concerns communication skills and activation of linguistic resources.

References

- Albano, G., and Ferrari, P.L. (2008), Integrating Technology and Research in Mathematics Education: The Case of E-Learning, In F.J. García-Peñalvo (Ed.), *Advances in E-Learning: Experiences and Methodologies* (pp.132-148). Hershey, NY: InformationScienceReference.
- Albano, G. and Ferrari, P.L. (2013), Mathematics education and e-learning: meaningful use of the quiz-modules, In E. Faggiano & A. Montone (Eds.). *ICTMT 11 Conference Proceedings* (pp.53-58).
- Albano G., Pi,erri, A. & Sabena, C. (2020), Enhancing formative assessment practices in undergraduate courses by means of online workshops, In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Technology in Mathematics Teaching – ICTMT 14 DuEPublico*, Duisburg-Essen Publications Online (pp. 155-162).
- Chakrabarty, S.N. (2013) Best Split – half and Maximum Reliability, *Journal of Research & Method in Education* 3 (1), 1-8.

- Darlington, E. (2014), Contrasts in mathematical challenges in A-level Mathematics and Further Mathematics, and undergraduate mathematics examinations. *Teaching Mathematics and its Applications*, 3, 213–229.
- Dubinsky, E. (1997), On Learning Quantification, *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 16 (213), 335-362.
- Duval, R. (2006), A cognitive analysis of problems of comprehension in a learning of mathematics, *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 61, 103-131.
- Ferrari, P.L. (2019), Argomentare a scuola: intrecci fra matematica e lingua, *L'insegnamento della matematica e delle scienze integrate*, vol.42 A-B, n.5, 611-625.
- Garuti, R. & Martignone, F. (2019), Assessment and argumentation: an analysis of mathematics standardized items, In: U.T. Jankvist, M. Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen & M. Veldhuis (Eds.). *Proceedings of CERME 11* (pp. 4075-4082) Utrecht: Freudenthal Group & Institute, Utrecht University and ERME.
- Iannone, P. (2020a), *Assessing Mathematics at University: Covid-19 and beyond*. London Mathematical Society, Newsletter, Issue 490, september 2020, 34-40.
- Iannone, P. (2020b), Assessment of mathematics in the digital age: the case of university mathematics. In: A. Donevska-Todorova, E. Faggiano, J. Trgalova, Z. Lavicza, R. Weinhandl, et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Tenth ERME Topic Conference (ETC 10) on Mathematics Education in the Digital Age (MEDA)*.
- Niss, M., and Højgaard, T. (2019), Mathematical competencies revisited, *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 102, 9–28.
- Trincherò, R. (2006), *Valutare l'apprendimento nell'e-learning*, Trento: Erickson.
- Scalise, K. and Gifford, B. (2006), Computer-Based Assessment in E-Learning: A Framework for Constructing “Intermediate Constraint” Questions and Tasks for Technology Platforms, *Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment*, 4.
- Watson, K., Wawro, M., Zandieh, M & Kerrigan, S. (2017), Knowledge about student understanding of eigentheory: Information gained from multiple choice extended assessment, *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, pp. 311-325.
- Skemp, R. (1976), Relational understanding and instrumental understanding. *Mathematics Teaching*, 77, 20–26.